

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/758,502	01/15/2004	David Benderly	BENDERLY	6160	
156	7590 09/01/2005		EXAMINER		
KIRSCHST	KIRSCHSTEIN, OTTINGER, ISRAEL			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
& SCHIFFM	,		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
489 FIFTH A					
NEW YORK, NY 10017			1725		

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			10			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/758,502	BENDERLY, DAVID				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samuel M. Heinrich	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 \(\infty\) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follop places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: \(\infty\) The period for reply expires \(\frac{3}{2}\) months from the mailing dat 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft office of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply many	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended in the period of extended in the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to d within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	onsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below);	ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	Illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ winvided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>30-37.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____
13. Other: _____

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

Samuel M. (Jennich Samuel M. Heinrich

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1725

Part of Paper No. 08292005

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claimed elements are disclosed in the prior art and because the new combination of claimed subject matter in claim 37 requires further consideration and/or search.